

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,064	11/17/2003	Kia Silverbrook	ZG150US	9915
24011	7590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			GORDON, RAQUEL YVETTE	
BALMAIN,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALI			2853	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/713,064	SILVERBROOK, KIA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Raquel Y. Gordon	2853			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 and 9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7,8 and 10-14 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10/129,503</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/17/2003</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 and 9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6799836.

The following claims are at issue as being taught US Patent No. 6799836:

- 1. A printhead supporting shell device for a pagewidth printhead assembly, the shell comprising: a longitudinal laminated structure (claims 7/2/1) defining an interior space, formed from continuous layers of at least two materials; the layers being odd in number and disposed symmetrically about a central layer (claims 7/2/1).
- 2. A device according to claim 1, wherein: two layers which are symmetrically disposed about the central layer are made from the same material and have the

Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

same thickness.

3. A device according to claim 1, wherein: the shell further comprises a longitudinal gap adapted to receive a component of a printhead.

- 4. A device according to claim 1, wherein: the laminated shell is formed from at least three metals laminated together, the laminate having inner and outer layers which have the same coefficient of thermal expansion (claim 4);
- 5. A device according to claim 1, wherein: the shell has outer layers which are made from invar (claim 12/1);
- 6. A device according to claim 1, wherein: each material has a different coefficient of thermal expansion (claim 10/9/1);
- 9. A device according to claim 1, wherein: all of the layers are metal (claim 7/2/1).

However, US Patent No. 6799836 does not explicitly teach the difference of: "a longitudinal laminated structure (claims 7/2/1) defining an interior space, formed from continuous layers of at least two materials; the layers being odd in number and disposed symmetrically about a central layer."

Nevertheless, although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: US Patent No. 6799836 teaches "a longitudinal core contained within and restrained by an outer laminated shell (claim 1) and "the outer shell is a laminated structure having an odd number of longitudinally extending continuous layers of at least two different metals wherein layers in a symmetrical arrangement" (claim 7/2/1).

The definition of "core" is the "innermost part." The definition of "restrained" is that of having freedom or liberty restricted or taken away. The definition of "contained" is "to keep within limits: Restrain." These definitions appear consistent with the Specification of US Patent No. 6799836 which defines the claimed elements.

The definition of "disposed" as claimed in the instant claims is arranged in a particular order and is consistent with the ordinary meanings found within the instant Specification.⁵

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found US Patent No. 6799836 sufficiently teaches "a longitudinal laminated structure defining an interior space, formed from continuous layers of at least two materials; the layers being odd in number and disposed symmetrically about a central layer" since US Patent No. 6799836 teaches an innermost "core" which is

¹ Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary. Eds. Anne H. Soukhanov et al. Boston, MA. Houghton Mifflin Company, 1988.

³ Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary. Eds. Anne H. Soukhanov et al. Boston, MA. Houghton Mifflin Company, 1988.

⁴ While the claim definitions have been considered in light of the Specification, only the claimed elements have been given weight with regard to the claim-to-claim inspection on which the Double Patenting Rejection herein is based.

contained within an outer laminate shell with the same particular interior arrangement of the metal layers as claimed.

Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the "central layer" claimed is similar to the "shell" taught by US Patent No. 6799836 since both elements have an odd number of longitudinally extending continuous layers of at least two different metals wherein the layers are claimed to be in a similar symmetrical arrangement.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify US Patent No. 6799836 by the aforementioned teachings, for the purpose of restraining the core, as taught by US Patent No. 6799836.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 8, and 10-14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for Indication of Allowability

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. For example, the following claims were not taught by the prior art since the claimed combinations either were not taught or there was an intervening claim preventing teaching the claims, as recited:

⁵ Id.

- 7. A device according to claim 6, wherein: at least two materials have coefficients of expansion which are different than the coefficient of expansion of silicon, one material having a coefficient of expansion which is greater than the coefficient of expansion of silicon and one material having a coefficient of expansion which is less than the coefficient of expansion of silicon.
- 8. A device according to claim 1, wherein: two layers which are symmetrically disposed about the central layer have different thicknesses, the lateral cross section of the shell, in compensation, being configured to prevent bowing;
- 10. A device according to claim 1, further comprising: an extruded plastic core in which is formed one or more ink reservoirs.
- 11. A device according to claim 10, wherein: the reservoirs lead to a printhead which protrudes from the shell.
- 12. A device according to claim 11, wherein: the printhead is a modular printhead comprising a plurality of modules disposed along the length of the core.
- 13. A device according to claim 12, wherein: each module is fabricated from silicon.

14. A device according to claim 13, wherein: each module further comprises ink nozzles, chambers and actuators.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Raquel Y. Gordon, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2145. The Examiner can normally be reached on M Tu Th and F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. A fax number is available upon request.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be directed to the Examiner or Supervisor.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raquel Y. Gordon Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853 December 9, 2004

> RAQUEL GORDON PRIMARY EXAMINER